Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: THORNWOOD EL

Campus ID: 101920123

District Name: SPRING BRANCH ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

											Two or						
					African			Americar		Pacific		-	Econ				
				-	American	-					Races	Ed	Disadv	ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
STAAR Percent	At or Ab	oove l	_evel II	Satisfact	ory Standa	ard (201	6) or Ph	ase-in 1	Level II	(2015)							
Grade 3																	
Reading	2016	72%	68%	58%	47%	60%	63%	-	*	-	*	*	57%	52%	69%	45%	-
	2015	74%	70%	76%	85%	76%	*	*	*	-	*	*	75%	63%	92%	63%	-
Mathematics	2016	74%	65%	65%	47%	69%	75%	-	*	-	*	*	69%	78%	60%	71%	-
	2015	74%	66%	75%	77%	76%	*	*	*	-	*	*	72%	63%	80%	70%	-
Grade 4																	
Reading	2016	74%	68%	66%	64%	69%	*	*	*	-	*	*	64%	38%	83%	50%	-
0	2015	71%	68%	65%	75%	68%	*	-	63%	-	-	*	63%	54%	78%	56%	-
Mathematics	2016	72%	68%	58%	45%	66%	*	*	*	-	*	*	57%	50%	55%	60%	-
	2015	71%	67%	62%	56%	68%	*	-	75%	-	-	*	67%	57%	61%	63%	-
	0040	000/	000/	0.49/	550/	7.40/	*	*	+		+	*	000/	4 407	700/	400/	
Writing	2016		66%	64%	55% 75%	74%	*	~	750/	-	^	*	63%	44%	79%	48%	-
	2015	67%	66%	67%	75%	65%		-	75%	-	-		67%	61%	86%	54%	-
Grade 5																	
Reading	2016	80%	74%	82%	82%	84%	*	-	83%	-	*	*	84%	63%	88%	77%	-
	2015	83%	77%	85%	91%	79%	*	-	*	-	*	*	79%	73%	90%	81%	-
Mathematics	2016	85%	81%	90%	82%	91%	100%	-	100%	-	*	*	91%	84%	96%	86%	-
	2015	75%	71%	83%	64%	85%	*	-	*	-	*	*	72%	77%	80%	84%	-
Science	2016	73%	70%	76%	80%	75%	*	-	100%	-	*	*	80%	68%	85%	70%	-
	2015	69%	66%	75%	55%	79%	*	-	*	-	*	*	69%	68%	65%	81%	-
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016	74%	70%	70%	63%	73%	62%	*	85%	-	55%	34%	70%	61%	76%	64%	-
,.	2015		70%	73%	73%	74%	63%	*	74%	-	100%	38%	71%	64%		69%	-
Reading	2016	72%	68%	68%	64%	71%	65%	*	75%	-	*	43%	68%	52%	79%	58%	-
licaalig	2015		70%	75%	84%	74%	64%	*	69%	-	*	*	73%	62%		67%	-
Mathematics	2016	75%	71%	71%	60%	75%	70%	*	92%	_	*	*	72%	73%	69%	73%	-
Matternatio	2015		70%	73%	67%	76%	57%	*	77%	-	*	43%			74%	72%	-
147.14				• 404		7404		*	*		ж	.	000/		700/	400/	
Writing	2016 2015		63% 64%	64% 67%	55% 75%	74% 65%	*	-	^ 75%	-	_	*		44% 61%	79% 86%	48% 54%	-
	2010	5070	U-T /0	U 1 /0	1070	0070			10/0				01/0	5170	0070	J 770	
Science	2016		77%	76%	80%	75%	*	-	100%	-	*	*	80%	68%		70%	-
	2015	75%	75%	75%	55%	79%	*	-	*	-	*	*	69%	68%	65%	81%	-

STAAR Percent at Final Level II or Above

All Grades	
------------	--

All Grades																		
All Subjects	2016	42%	43%	32%	28%	32%	17%	, * D	6	7%	-	36%	3%	31%	14%	34%	29%	-
	2015	38%	40%	35%	21%	37%	29%	, * D *	5	3%	-	100%	11%	33%	26%	37%	34%	-
Reading	2016	42%	42%	35%	32%	36%	25%	′ *	5	8%	-	*	7%	31%	15%	39%	31%	_
rtedding	2010		41%	37%	31%	38%				8%	_	*	*	34%		40%	34%	_
	2013	40 /0	4170	31 /0	5170	3070	23/	D	J	570	-			54 /0	2J /0	40 /0	5470	-
Mathematics	2016		40%	30%	26%	28%	15%	, * D	7	5%	-	*	*	31%	18%	29%	30%	-
	2015	36%	37%	35%	21%	38%	21%	, , 0 ,	62	2%	-	*	21%	33%	28%	32%	37%	-
Writing	2016	39%	40%	36%	36%	38%	*	*	r	*	-	*	*	35%	13%	45%	28%	-
	2015	31%	34%	28%	0%	26%) *		- 63	3%	-	-	*	27%	21%	41%	20%	-
Science	2016	44%	48%	24%	20%	22%	*	-	· 6 [.]	7%	-	*	*	25%	0%	27%	21%	-
	2015	40%	45%	38%	9%	42%	*		-	*	-	*	*	41%	27%	35%	41%	-
STAAR Percent	at Level	III Ad	vanced															
All Grades																		
All Subjects	2016	17%	20%	12%	7%	12%	4%	, ,	4	8%	-	18%	0%	13%	5%	11%	14%	-
	2015	14%	17%	15%	7%	15%				4%	-	40%	3%	16%		17%	14%	-
Reading	2016	16%	19%	17%	9%	20%	10%	, , 0	5	0%	-	*	0%	18%	8%	18%	17%	-
Ū	2015	15%	17%	21%	13%	22%	5 14%	, * 0 *	· 3	1%	-	*	*	22%	13%	25%	18%	-
Mathematics	2016	17%	19%	10%	6%	7%	0%	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	5	8%	-	*	*	9%	5%	8%	11%	-
	2015		15%	13%	6%	12%				6%	-	*	7%	15%	10%	15%	12%	-
Writing	2016	14%	17%	14%	9%	15%	*	ł	r	*	-	*	*	15%	0%	10%	17%	-
	2015		10%	5%	0%	6%	*		- 1:	3%	-	-	*	10%	7%	9%	3%	-
Science	2016	15%	20%	5%	0%	3%	*		- 3	3%	-	*	*	7%	0%	0%	9%	-
	2015	14%	19%	13%	0%	12%				*	-	*	*	10%	0%	5%	19%	-
STAAR Participa	tion (Al	I Grad	es)															
All Tests		201	16 99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	6 100%	-
		201			100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		-
Reading		201	6 99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	-	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	6 100%	-
		201		98%	99%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		-

	2015	99%	98%	99%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	-
Mathematics	2016	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	-	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
	2015	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
Writing	2016	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	*	-	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
	2015	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
Science	2016	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-	*	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
	2015	99%	99%	1 00 %	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-

STAAR Participation Results by Assessment Type for Students Served in Special Education Settings (All Grades)

Reading Tests % of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No	2016	98%	96%	100%	*	100%	*	-	*	-	-	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	-
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With	2016	13%	22%	14%	*	0%	*	-	*	-	-	14%	20%	*	17%	13%	-
Accommodations	2016	73%	62%	86%	*	100%	*	-	*	-	-	86%	80%	*	83%	88%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	11%	13%	0%	*	0%	*	-	*	-	-	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	2%	4%	0%	*	0%	*	-	*	-	-	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	-

Mathematics Tests % of Participants % STAAR/EOC With No	2016	99%	99%	100%	*	100%	*	-	*	-	-	100%	100%	*	100%	100%	-
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC With	2016	12%	18%	14%	*	0%	*	-	*	-	-	14%	20%	*	17%	13%	-
Accommodations	2016	75%	68%	86%	*	100%	*	-	*	-	-	86%	80%	*	83%	88%	-
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	14%	0%	*	0%	*	-	*	-	-	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	-
% of Non-Participants	2016	1%	1%	0%	*	0%	*	-	*	-	-	0%	0%	*	0%	0%	-

'*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

'-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

Percent

															of
								Two oi			ELL				Eligible
	All	African			American		Pacific			Snocia	l (Current 8	FLI	Total	Total	
		sAmericar	Hispanic	White			Islander				Monitored			Eligible	
Performance Status - State		oranienioui	mopuno	mile	maian	Adian	Islandel	naoco	Dioudi	24	mennered	, ·	moti		mot
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Y	Y	Y						Y		Y		5	5	100
Mathematics	Y	Y	Y						Y		Y		5	5	100
Writing	Y		Y						Y				3	3	100
Science	Y		Y						Y				3	3	100
Social Studies													0	0	
Total													16	16	100
Performance Status - Fede	eral														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	Ν	Ν	Ν		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν		N	n/a			
Mathematics	Ν	Ν	Ν		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν		Ν	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Y	Y	Y						Y			Y	5	5	100
Mathematics	Y	Y	Y						Y			Υ	5	5	100
Total													10	10	100
Federal Graduation Status	(Target: S	ee Reason	Codes)												
Graduation Target Met Reason Code ***													0	0	
Total													0	0	
District: Met Federal Limits	s on Altern	ative Asse	ssments												
Reading															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Mathematics	. 1.														
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Total													~~	~~	400
Overall Total													26	26	100

+ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

*** Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ	Special	ELL (Current &	ELL
		American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	
Performance Rates Reading			•									. ,
# at Level II Satisfactory Standard	119	29	67	12	*	8	-	*	93	5	48	n/a
Total Tests	160	39	92	15	*	10	-	*	125	12	69	52
% at Level II Satisfactory	74%	74%	73%	80%	*	80%	-	*	74%	42%	70%	n/a
Standard Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory Standard	118	26	70	11	*	9	-	*	92	*	54	n/a
Total Tests	160	39	92	15	*	10	-	*	125	*	69	52
% at Level II Satisfactory	74%	67%	76%	73%	*	90%	-	*	74%	*	78%	n/a
Standard	11/0	01 /0	10/0	1070		0070			1 1 / 0		1070	n/a
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory Standard	36	6	25	*	*	*	-	*	29	*	12	n/a
Total Tests	52	9	34	*	*	*	-	*	42	*	18	13
% at Level II Satisfactory	69%	67%	74%	*	*	*	-	*	69%	*	67%	n/a
Standard												
Science	40	4.4	22	*		**			22	*	22	2/2
# at Level II Satisfactory Standard	42	11	22		-		-	-	32		23	n/a
Total Tests	51	12	29	*	-	**	_	_	39	*	28	17
% at Level II Satisfactory	82%	92%	76%	*	-	100%	-	-	82%	*	82%	n/a
Standard	0270	0270	10/0			10070			0270		0270	n/a
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates Reading: 2015-2016 Assessi	ments											
Number Participating	186	47	102	20	*	12	-	*	143	14	n/a	62
Total Students	186	47	102	20	*	12	-	*	143	14	n/a	62
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	-	*	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Ass				_								
Number Participating	186	47	102	20	*	12	-	*	143	14	n/a	62
Total Students	186	47	102	20	*	12	-	*	143	14	n/a	62
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	100%	-	*	100%	100%	n/a	100%

* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or				
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
		(0 0 10)	<u> </u>									

4-year Longitudinal Cohort Graduation Rate (Gr 9-12): Class of 2015

2015-16 Federal Report Card

Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Gra	aduation Rate	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 2	014								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Ra	ate (Gr 9-12):	Class of 2	014									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
District: Met Federal Limits on	Alternative A	ssessment	ts									

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessm										
Reading										
Number Proficient	n/a									
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a									
Mathematics										
Number Proficient	n/a									
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a									

* Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

** When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports.Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification:Priority School Reason: N/ANoFocus School Reason: N/AFocus School Identification:No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year.Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and

doctorate degrees.

	Campu	s		
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.2%	1.0%
Bachelors	24.9	96.1%	73.9%	74.7%
Masters	1.0	3.9%	24.4%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	1.4%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General	Special	Total
		Education	Education	
Total Number of Teachers		22	3	25
Total Number of Classes		22	3	25
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	22	3	25
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of Teachers		
	Elem (PK-6)	 secondary (7-12)	
Emergency (for certified personnel) Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0	
Non-renewable	0	0	
Temporary Classroom Assignment District Teaching	0 0	0 0	
Temporary	0	0	

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number of Teachers		
	General Education	Special Education	
Highly Qualified	0	0	
Not Highly Qualified	0	0	

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
	Ū	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade Grade 4	Subject Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment